



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

L	SE	RIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	208	3/058,074	Ø5/Ø4/93	WILENS	P	F:KW001
						EXAMINER
	23M1/1213					
JASON J. YOUNG						
			BEAVER ROA	4D	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		NTE 624 KOY, MI 4:	9094-3109		2311	3
	•	114			DATE MAILED:	
This	isac	ommunication from the	examiner in charge of	your application.	DATE MAILED.	12/13/93
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS						
,						
1	This a	application has been	n examined	Responsive to communication filed on		□ •
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), 2 day from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133						
		respond within the	period for response	will cause the application to become abandon	ed. 35 U.S.C. 1	33
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:						
1.	· 💆	Notice of Referen	ces Cited by Examin	er, PTO-892. 2. 1. Notice re	Patent Drawing, P	r∩-948
3.	. E	Notice of Art Cite	d by Applicant, PTO	1449. 4. [Y] Notice of	informal Patent Ap	plication, Form PTO-152.
5.		information on Ho	w to Effect Drawing	Changes, PTO-1474. 6.		
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION						
1.	Ø	Claims	5			
						are pending in the application.
		Of the abov	re, claims		ar	e withdrawn from consideration.
2.		Claims				have been seen a
8	П	Claims				
•						
4.	Ø	Claims	5			are rejected.
5.						
	П					
-	_	Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
7.		This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.				
8.		Formal drawings a	re required in respon	nse to this Office action.		
9.		The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings				
		are acceptab	le. not acceptab	le (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawin	Under 37 C	.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved be						
10.	ш	examiner. dis	itional or substitute : approved by the exa	sheet(s) of drawings, filed on miner (see explanation).	has (have) been	approved by the
	_					
11.	Ų	The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation).				
12.	2. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received not been received.					ceived not been received
		Deen filed in pa	arent application, ser	ial no; filed on		
13.						
	_	accordance with th	e practice under Ex	condition for allowance except for formal matt parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ers, prosecution as	to the merits is closed in
14.	П	Other .				

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9-89)

Serial No. 08/058,074 Art Unit 2311

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- 2. (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Barber.

As per claim 1, Barber teaches portable golf device (10) comprising: a memory (16), a display (20) for displaying a plurality of informational screens (Figs. 6 and 7), key entry (18). Therefore, claim 1 is anticipated by barber.

Regarding claims 2-9, Barber also teaches a keyboard (18) including cursor director device (60) for selectively displaying screens or choosing a particular field on the displayed screen, save switch (68) for saving golf information for further use.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of

Art Unit 2311

> this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Barber.

Regarding claims 10-11, Barber does not explicitly teach the portable golf device comprising a step of storing a plurality of pre-game, post-game information, and also future game information in the memory in order to enable the user to review the golf information. Barber discloses storing a player's performance data as well as the topographical data of each golf hole (col. 2, lines From these detailed descriptions, it would have been 17-41). obvious to the skilled artisan that post games data is stored. Also since a player's performance is stored before playing a game a golfer would likely review his past performances. This past performance may be regarded as pre-game data or future data if the golfer would like to have a similar performance in the future. A memory (16) is provided in the form of a random access memory capable of storing golf course geometrical and topographical data and player performance data to be displayed on display or screen (20). Although a plurality of screens is not explicitly taught, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a plurality of screens in the computer in order to enter golf data for statistics. motivation would be to enable the user to keep track the results of

the golf games as well as player's performance data.

Claims 12-15 are similar in scope to claims 1, 3 and 6-11, are rejected under a similar rationale.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khai Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-9776.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

KT/ayc

November 30, 1993

BOY M. ENMAL, IR. Supervisory Petent Exempter